SCA Exec Summary

| School Information | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| School Name | | | | | | | |
| Address | | | | | | | |
| Submission | 100% CD | | | | | | |
| Date | | | | | | | |

| Baseline Information | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| LL86 Baseline | ASHRAE 90.1-2013 ECB | | | | | | |
| GSG Baseline | ASHRAE 90.1-2010 PRM | | | | | | |
| GSG Version | 2016 Rating System | | | | | | |
| % Renovation | 0% | | | | | | |

| Energy Modeling Information | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Drawing Set | 100% CD | | | | | | | |
| Modeling Software & version | DOE2.2 | | | | | | | |
| Weather File | NY Central Park | | | | | | | |
| Total Modeled Square Feet | 107718.1 | | | | | | | |
| Area of plenums/ dummy zones | 52200 | | | | | | | |
| Net Modeled Area | 55518.1 | | | | | | | |
| Unconditioned Area | 858 | | | | | | | |
| Conditioned SQFT | <u>54660</u> | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Unmet Load Hours | 192 | | | | | | | |
| LL86 Baseline Unmet Load Hours | 211 | | | | | | | |
| GSG Baseline Unmet Load Hours | 214 | | | | | | | |

| LL86 Results | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|
| LL86 Baseline Regulated Cost | 82572 |
| Proposed Regulated Cost | 65267 |
| Regulated Cost Savings | 21.0% |
| LL86 Baseline Total Cost | \$ 110,728 |
| Proposed Total Cost | \$ 93,423 |
| Total Cost Savings | 16% |
| LL86 Baseline Site EUI | 41.2 |
| Proposed Site EUI | 35.5 |
| Site EUI Savings | 14% |
| LL86 Source EUI | 82.76 |
| Proposed Source EUI | 69.3 |
| Source EUI Savings | 16.2% |
| LL86 Compliant? | Compliant |
| | |
| Proposed Carbon (Ton CO2e) | 142.1 |
| Proposed Carbon (Ton CO2e/1000 ft2) | 2.56 |

| GSG Results | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| GSG Baseline Total Cost | \$ 116,762 | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Total Cost | \$ 93,423 | | | | | | | |
| Total Cost Savings | 20.0% | | | | | | | |
| GSG Baseline Site EUI | 43.0 | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Site EUI | 35.5 | | | | | | | |
| Site EUI Savings | 17% | | | | | | | |
| GSG Source EUI | 87.8 | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Source EUI | 69.3 | | | | | | | |
| Source EUI Savings | 21.0% | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| GSG Compliant? | Compliant | | | | | | | |
| Optimize Energy Points | 10 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Note: An additional measure has been applied to the proposed design that cannot be applied for credit against LL86 or GSG. With the additional measure, the proposed source EUI is expected to reduce by another 0.4 kBTU/ft2, and meets the requirements of LL31.

Exceptional Calculations

Shorter Turn-Down Durations

The proposed design includes Lighting ECM-2 from the SWA/FX Collaborative LL31 Feasibility Study Phase 2 Report dated April 10, 2019. This measure reduces the turn down wait time for lighting sensors. This measure has been modeled separately from the proposed building model because it cannot be used for the purposes of LL86 or GSG compliance. ASHRAE 90.1 defines the modeling protocol used for LL86 and GSG, and specifies the amount of savings that can be attributed to occupancy sensors.

The following lighting credits have been modeled:

| Space Type | Without ECM | With ECM |
|------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Classrooms | 10% lighting reduction from OS | 30% lighting reduction from OS |
| Corridors | 10% lighting reduction from OS | 25% lighting reduction from OS |
| Offices | 10% lighting reduction from OS | 30% lighting reduction from OS |
| Restrooms | 10% lighting reduction from OS | 45% lighting reduction from OS |
| Stairs | 10% lighting reduction from OS | 45% lighting reduction from OS |
| | | *Reduced from 75% savings in LL31 |
| | | report |

This measure results in a 3016 kWh/yr reduction in electricity and an increase of 46 therms of natural gas. When these savings are applied to the proposed design, the projected source EUI is 69.7 kBtu/ft2 and the project meets the source energy target of <70 kBTU/ft2.

| Case | Electric Use (kWh) | Natural Gas (Therms) | Source EUI |
|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Proposed design w/o | 350988 | 7991 | 70.1 |
| turndown credit | | | |
| Proposed design w/ | 347,972 | 8037 | 69.7 |
| turndown credit | | | |

| 5 Energy Modeling Usage Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | LL86 Ba ASHRAE 90. | | GSG Ba ASHRAE 9 | | Proposed Model | | | | | | | |
| | Electric Usage (kwh) | Gas/Steam Usage (Therm) | Electric Usage (kwh) | Gas/Steam Usage (Therm) | Electric Usage (kwh) | Gas/Steam Usage (Therm) | Energy Savings Per End Use (%) vs LL86 | Energy Savings Per End Use (%) vs GSG | | | | |
| Interior Lighting | 82,361 | - | 82,795 | - | 36,111 | - | 50% | 38% | | | | |
| Misc. Equip. | 142,706 | - | 143,701 | - | 142,706 | - | 0% | 1% | | | | |
| Space Heat | - | 8,258 | - | 8,049 | 1,566 | 7,821 | 12% | 4% | | | | |
| Space Cool | 80,432 | - | 83,820 | - | 65,677 | - | 16% | 15% | | | | |
| Heat Rejection | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0% | 0% | | | | |
| Pumps & Misc | 1,889 | - | 2,127 | - | 4,703 | - | -3% | -2% | | | | |
| Vent Fans | 78,088 | - | 107,749 | - | 60,028 | - | 19% | 39% | | | | |
| Dom. Hot Water | 40,279 | - | 40,286 | - | 34,579 | - | 6% | 5% | | | | |
| Exterior Lighting | 2,629 | - | 2,629 | - | 2,629 | - | 0% | 0% | | | | |
| Exterior Misc. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0% | 0% | | | | |
| TOTAL | 428,384 | 8,258 | 463,106 | 8,049 | 347,999 | 7,821 | 100% | 100% | | | | |

5a Energy Related Design Features

List energy related features that are included in the design and contribute to the energy savings in Section 5.

- R-40 roofing w/ no thermal bridging.
- R-30 insulation in walls (U-0.084 per THERM model)
- Underslab R15 insulation
- Lower lighting levels (FC target same in design & baselines)
- Daylight dimming and lighting controls
- Extensive air sealing (infiltration levels same in design and baselines)
- Reduced bathroom faucet supply (same in design & baselines)
- Demand defrost in freezers & additional insulation @ walk-ins (same in design & baselines)

The following measures have been included in the design but have not been modeled for credit against the GSG or LL31 Baselines

Shorter lighting turn-down ratios (ASHRAE regulates savings from occupancy sensors)

The following changes have been made to the model since the 60% CD submission

- Envelope performance better than SCA standard as described above
- Office equipment modeled per updated modeling guidelines. Two large copy machines have been included. The remainder of the office space is modeled at 0.51 W/ft2 instead of 4.77 W/ft2.
- All mechanical equipment updated per schedules

| 6b Vei | 6b Vertical Fenestration | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--------------------------|------|---|-------------------------|-------------|-------|---|----------------------------------|------|------------|--|---------------|------|------|--|
| Model Ir | nput | | | Baseline 0.1-2013 EC | В | | | GSG Baseline ASHRAE 90.1-2010 | | | | Proposed Case | | | |
| Parame | eter | Item | Description (from ASHRAE) | U-factor | SHGC | VLT | Description (from ASHRAE) | U-factor | SHGC | VLT | Description (from design) | U-factor | SHGC | VLT | |
| Vertic | al | 1 | Metal framing (fixed) | U-0.42 | 0.4 | 0.67 | Metal framing (all other) | U-0.55 | 0.40 | 0.44 | SCA Standard Glazing (Average) | 0.45 | 0.38 | 0.67 | |
| Vertic | :al | 2 | Metal framing (operable) | U-0.50 | 0.4 | 0.67 | Metal framing (all other) | U-0.55 | 0.40 | 0.44 | SCA Standard Glazing (Average) | 0.45 | 0.38 | 0.67 | |
| Vertic | al | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vertic | al | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vertic | al | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vertic | al | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vertic | al | 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Skyligl | ht | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Skyligl | ht | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Shadir | ng | | □No shading projections, man shading have been modeled. | nual shading | devices, or | self- | □No shading projections, manual shading devices, or self- shading have been modeled. | | | self- | List any permanent or auto-controlled shading devices: | | | | |
| Device | | | □Any shading by adjacent stri identically to the proposed ca | | been model | ed | □Any shading by adjacent structures has been modeled identically to the proposed case. | | | en modeled | | | | | |

NYC SCA SCA Wall Summary

| | Space- | | LL86 Baseline ASHRAE 90.1-2013 E | CB | GSG Baseline ASHRAE 90.1-2010 | | Proposed Case | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------|
| Model Input Parameter | Conditioning Category | ltem# | Description | U-factor/ C- factor/ F- factor | Description | U-factor/ C- factor/ F- factor | Description | Assembly U- factor/ C- factor/ F- factor | % of above- grade wall |
| Roof Construction | Non-Residential | 1 | Insulation Entirely Above Deck | U-0.032 | Insulation Entirely Above Deck | U-0.048 | 2 Layers precast concrete roofing pavers 8" rigid insulation (R-S/in) Roofing membrane 6" Concrete on metal deck | 0.024 | 1 |
| | | | Solar Reflectance | SR = 82 | Solar Reflectance | SR = | Solar Reflectance | | |
| | | 2 | | | | | | | |
| | | _ | Solar Reflectance | SR = | Solar Reflectance | SR = | Solar Reflectance | | |
| Above-Grade Exterior Wall Construction | Non-Residential | 1 | Mass | U-0.104 | Steel-Framed | U-0.064 | Rainscreen Air Space 6" Mineral Fiber insulation (R-4.2/in rated) Gypboard 6" Batt insulation (R-4.3/in) Gypboard U-value per THERM analysis | 0.084 | 1 |
| Below-Grade Exterior Wall | Non-Residential | 1 | Below-Grade Wall | C-0.119 | | | | C-0.092 | |
| Construction | | 2 | | | | | | | |
| Exposed Floor Construction | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Slab-On-Grade Floors | Non-Residential | 1 | Unheated | F-0.520 | | | 8" concrete slab 3" rigid insulation (R-5/in) | F-0.30 | 100 |
| Opaque Doors | Non-Residential | 1 | Swinging | U-0.500 | | | Standard Door | 0.7 | |
| _paque 20013 | | 2 | | | | | | | |

| Space Type (Table 9.6.1) or Building Area | Total Area Space/Blg | LL86 Baseline ASHRAE 90.1-2013 ECB | | | GSG Baseline ASHRAE 90.1-2010 | | | Proposed Case | | |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Type (Table 9.5.1) | Type (ft ²) | Auto. Controls (Yes/No) | Daylight Ctrls (Yes/No) | Modeled LPD (W/ft2) | Auto. Controls (Yes/No) | Daylight Ctrls (Yes/No) | Modeled LPD (W/ft2) | Auto. Controls (Yes/No) | Daylight Ctrls (Yes/No) | Modeled LPD (W/ft2) |
| Classroom/lecture/training - all other | 20,947 | Yes | Yes | 1.24 | Yes | Yes | 1.24 | Yes | Yes | 0.32 |
| Office - Enclosed and < 250 sqft | 3,599 | Yes | Yes | 1.00 | Yes | Yes | 1.11 | Yes | Yes | 0.44 |
| Corridor - all other | 9,674 | Yes | No | 0.66 | No | No | 0.66 | Yes | No | 0.33 |
| Stairwell | 3,381 | Yes | No | 0.69 | No | No | 0.69 | No | No | 0.35 |
| Electrical/Mechanical | 3,840 | Yes | No | 0.42 | No | No | 0.95 | No | No | 0.63 |
| Storage room - all other | 1,932 | Yes | No | 0.63 | No | No | 0.63 | Yes | No | 0.43 |
| Locker Room | 413 | Yes | No | 0.75 | Yes | No | 0.75 | Yes | No | 0.34 |
| Restroom - all other | 2,697 | Yes | Yes | 0.98 | Yes | Yes | 0.98 | Yes | Yes | 0.45 |
| Dining Area - all other | 5,146 | Yes | Yes | 0.65 | No | Yes | 0.65 | Yes | Yes | 0.85 |
| Food Preparation Area | 1,705 | No | No | 1.21 | No | No | 0.99 | No | No | 0.42 |
| Corridor - all other | 858 | Yes | Yes | 0.66 | No | No | 0.66 | Yes | Yes | 0.00 |
| Laboratory - in or as a classroom | 867 | Yes | Yes | 1.43 | Yes | Yes | 1.28 | Yes | Yes | 0.32 |
| Library - Reading Area | 460 | Yes | Yes | 1.06 | Yes | Yes | 0.93 | Yes | Yes | 0.15 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 55,519 | | | 0.93 | | | 0.97 | | | 0.41 |

| Exterior Lighting Power | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | LL32 Baseline (Watts) | GSG Baseline (Watts) | Proposed Design (Watts) | | | | | |
| Tradable Lighting Power | 1200 | 1200 | 480 | | | | | |
| Non-Tradable Lighting Power | | | | | | | | |
| Base Site Allowance | 600 | 600 | | | | | | |
| Total Lighting Power | 2400 | 2400 | 480 | | | | | |

| Process/Receptacle Equipm | Process/Receptacle Equipment | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Space Type (or Equipment Type) | Space Area (or # Equip.) | Proposed Design Equipment Power Density (W/SF) | LL32 Baseline Design Equipment Power Density (W/SF) | GSG Baseline Design Equipment Power Density (W/SF) | | | |
| | SQFT | W/SF | W/SF | | | | |
| Classroom | 15246 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.034 | | | |
| Kitchen | 1704 | 29.92 | 29.92 | 29.92 | | | |
| Office | 3026 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | | | |
| Data | 658 | 26.50 | 26.50 | 26.5 | | | |
| Medical | 573 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.51 | | | |
| Kind | 1965 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | | | |
| PreK | 2913 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | | | |
| Science | 867 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.12 | | | |
| Reading | 460 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.5 | | | |
| Music | 823 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | | | |
| Cafeteria | 5146 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | 33,380 | 2.71 | 2.71 | 2.71 | | | |

NYC SCA SCA HVAC Air Class

| Air-Side HVAC Systems | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------|--|
| | HVAC System / LL86 Baseli ASHRAE 90.1-20 | ne | HVAC Syste GSG Ba ASHRAE 9 | seline | HVAC System / Gro | | |
| | Description | Units | Description | Units | Description | Units | |
| System Type | System 4: Packaged VA | V with reheat | System 5: Packaged V | 'AV with Reheat | Rooftop AHU wit | h CHW and HW | |
| System Designation(s) | CLASS-SYS-AHU-1, CLA | SS-SYS-AHU-2 | CLASS-FL1-SYS, CLASS | · · | AHU-1, | AHU-2 | |
| # of Similar Systems | 2 | | 3 | | 2 | | |
| Total Cooling Capacity | 1458 | kBtu/h | 1966 | kBtu/h | 1079 | kBtu/h | |
| *Table 6.8.1 Unitary Cooling Capacity Range | 240-760 | kBtu/h | 240-760 & 760+ | kBtu/h | NA | kBtu/h | |
| *Unitary Cooling Eff. (EER or SEER) | 9.8 | EER | 9.8/9.5 | EER | NA | EER | |
| *Unitary Cooling Part-load Eff. (if applicable) | 11.4 | IEER | 9.9/9.6 | IEER | NA | IEER | |
| Total Heating Capacity | 801.47 | kBtu/h | 1170 | kBtu/h | 466 | kBtu/h | |
| *Table 6.8.1 Unitary Heating Capacity Range | NA | kBtu/h | NA | kBtu/h | NA | kBtu/h | |
| *Unitary Heating Efficiency | NA | СОР | NA | СОР | NA | СОР | |
| *Fan Control | VAV | | VAV | | VAV | | |
| Supply Airflow | 39624 | cfm | 39954 | cfm | 27612 | cfm | |
| Outdoor Airflow | 13823 | cfm | 13819 | cfm | 13818 | cfm | |
| *Demand Control Ventilation | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | | |
| | Dual Enthalpy | | NA | | Dual Enthalpy | | |
| *Economizer High-Limit Shutoff (°F) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Exhaust Air Energy Recovery Systems | Yes | | Ye | s | Yes | | |
| *Exhaust Air Energy Recovery Effectiveness | 50% | | 509 | % | 40% | | |
| Supply Fan Power | 41.02 | kW | 39.74 | kW | 37.8 | kW | |
| Return/Relief Fan Power | 25.9 | kW | 27.98 | kW | 16.86 | kW | |
| Exhaust Fan Power | | kW | | kW | | kW | |
| System Fan Power | 66.92 | kW | 67.72 | kW | 54.66 | kW | |
| Allowed Fan Power: | 66.92 | kW | 67.72 | kW | NA | kW | |
| Pressure Drop Adjustments | cfm | in w.c. | cfm | in w.c. | | | |
| Fully Ducted Return | 39624 | 0.5 | 39954 | 0.5 | | | |
| Filters: MERV 13-15 | 39624 | 0.9 | 39954 | 0.9 | | | |

NYC SCA SCA HVAC Air Class

| Heat Recovery Device | 27646 | 0.6 | 27638 | 0.6 | Based on 50% efficiency for 1 stream. Enter cfm for supply + exhaust through ERV |
|---|-------|------|-------|------|--|
| Sound Attenuation Section | 13823 | 0.15 | 13819 | 0.15 | |
| Other | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | AHU-1 |
| Equipment Included (per Mechanical Schedules) | | | | | AHU-2 |
| | | | | | General Note: Exhaust fans are directly metered |

NYC SCA SCA HVAC Air K_C

| | | A | ir-Side HVAC Systems | | | |
|--|--|---------|---|--------------------------------------|--|------------|
| | HVAC System / Group LL86 Baseline ASHRAE 90.1-2013 ECB | | HVAC System / Group GSG Baseline ASHRAE 90.1-2010 | HVAC System / Group (PROPOSED DESIGN | | |
| | Description | Units | Description | Units | Description | Units |
| System Type | System 11: Packaged rooftop AC | • | System 3: PSZ-AC | • | Single Zone VAV | |
| System Designation(s) | K/C-SYS-AHU-3 | | K/C-SYS-AHU-3 | | K/C-SYS-AHU-3 | |
| # of Similar Systems | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | |
| Total Cooling Capacity | 507 | kBtu/h | 522 | kBtu/h | 440 | kBtu/h |
| *Table 6.8.1 Unitary Cooling Capacity Range | 240-760 | kBtu/h | 240-760 | kBtu/h | NA | kBtu/h |
| *Unitary Cooling Eff. (EER or SEER) | 9.8 | EER | 9.8 | EER | NA | EER |
| *Unitary Cooling Part-load Eff. (if applicable) | 11.4 | IEER | 9.9 | IEER | NA | IEER |
| Total Heating Capacity | 488 | kBtu/h | 708 | kBtu/h | 267 | kBtu/h |
| *Table 6.8.1 Unitary Heating Capacity Range | >225 | kBtu/h | >225 | kBtu/h | NA | kBtu/h |
| *Unitary Heating Efficiency | 80% | Et | 80% | Et | NA | СОР |
| *Fan Control | CV, 2-Speed Fan | | cv | | VAV | |
| Supply Airflow | 8946 | cfm | 9112 | cfm | 8547 | cfm |
| Outdoor Airflow | 5278 | cfm | 5276 | cfm | 5282 | cfm |
| *Demand Control Ventilation | No | | No | | Yes | |
| *Economizer High-Limit Shutoff | NA | | NA | | Dual Enthalpy | |
| (°F) | | | | | | |
| Exhaust Air Energy Recovery Systems | Yes | ļ | Yes | | Yes | |
| *Exhaust Air Energy Recovery Effectiveness | 50% | | 50% | | 40% | |
| Supply Fan Power | 10.8 | kW | 12.7 | kW | 8.7 | kW |
| Return/Relief Fan Power | 0 | kW | 0 | kW | 0 | kW |
| Exhaust Fan Power | | kW | | kW | | kW |
| System Fan Power | 10.8 | kW | 12.7 | kW | 8.7 | kW |
| Allowed Fan Power: | 10.8 | kW | 12.7 | kW | 8.7 | kW |
| Pressure Drop Adjustments | cfm | in w.c. | cfm | in w.c. | | |
| Fully Ducted Return | 8946 | 0.5 | 9112 | 0.5 | | |
| Filters: MERV 13-15 | 8946 | 0.9 | 9112 | 0.9 | | |
| Heat Recovery Device | 10556 | 0.6 | 10552 | 0.6 | Based on 50% efficiency for 1 stream. Ent supply + exhaust through ERV | er cfm for |
| Sound Attenuation Section | 5278 | 0.15 | 5276 | 0.15 | | |
| Other | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Equipment Included (per Mechanical Schedules) | | | | | AHU-3 Note: All exhaust fans, including KEF-1 & K directly metered | GEF-1 are |

| | | Air- | Side HVAC Systems | | | |
|---|--|---------|---|---------|---|--------|
| | HVAC System / Group LL86 Baseline ASHRAE 90.1-2013 ECB | | HVAC System / Group GSG Baseline ASHRAE 90.1-2010 | _ | HVAC System / Group (PROPOSED DESIGN) | |
| | Description | Units | Description | Units | Description | Units |
| System Type | System 8: PTHP | | System 3: PSZ-AC | | PSZ-HP | |
| System Designation(s) | DATA-SYS | | DATA-SYS | | DATA-SYS | |
| # of Similar Systems | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | |
| Total Cooling Capacity | 40 | kBtu/h | 42 | kBtu/h | 94.2 | kBtu/h |
| *Table 6.8.1 Unitary Cooling Capacity Range | <65 | kBtu/h | <65 | kBtu/h | NA | kBtu/h |
| *Unitary Cooling Eff. (EER or SEER) | 13 | SEER | 13 | SEER | 15.2 | SEER |
| *Unitary Cooling Part-load Eff. (if applicable) | NA | IEER | NA | IEER | NA | IEER |
| Total Heating Capacity | NA | kBtu/h | NA | kBtu/h | 34.2 | kBtu/h |
| *Table 6.8.1 Unitary Heating Capacity Range | NA | kBtu/h | NA | kBtu/h | <65 | kBtu/h |
| *Unitary Heating Efficiency | 8.2 | HSPF | NA | Et | 8 | HSPF |
| *Fan Control | cv | | cv | | CV | |
| Supply Airflow | 933 | cfm | 933 | cfm | 933 | cfm |
| Outdoor Airflow | 0 | cfm | 0 | cfm | 0 | cfm |
| *Demand Control Ventilation | No | | No | | No | |
| *Economizer High-Limit Shutoff (°F) | NA | | NA | | NA NA | |
| Exhaust Air Energy Recovery Systems | No | | No | | No | |
| *Exhaust Air Energy Recovery Effectiveness | | | | | | |
| Supply Fan Power | 0.55 | kW | 0.55 | kW | 0.55 | kW |
| Return/Relief Fan Power | 0 | kW | 0 | kW | 0 | kW |
| Exhaust Fan Power | | kW | | kW | | kW |
| System Fan Power | 0.55 | kW | 0.55 | kW | 0.55 | kW |
| Allowed Fan Power: | 0.55 | kW | 0.55 | kW | 0.55 | kW |
| Pressure Drop Adjustments | cfm | in w.c. | cfm | in w.c. | | |
| Fully Ducted Return | NA | 0.5 | NA | | | |
| Filters: MERV 13-15 | NA | 0.9 | NA | | | |
| Heat Recovery Device | NA | 0.6 | NA | | Based on 50% efficiency for 1 stream for supply + exhaust through | |
| Sound Attenuation Section | NA | 0.15 | NA | | | |
| Other | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | AC-G-2 | |
| | | | | | AC-1-1 | |
| Equipment Included (per | | | | | AC-2-1 | |
| Mechanical Schedules) | | | | | AC-G-1 | |
| | | | | | | |

| | | A | ir-Side HVAC Systems | | | | |
|--|--|---------|---|--------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| | HVAC System / Group LL86 Baseline ASHRAE 90.1-2013 ECB | | HVAC System / Group GSG Baseline ASHRAE 90.1-2010 | GSG Baseline | | HVAC System / Group (PROPOSED DESIGN) | |
| | Description | Units | Description | Units | Description | Units | |
| System Type | System 8: PTHP | | System 9: Heating and Ventilation | | Electric Unit Heaters | ' | |
| System Designation(s) | HEAT-ONLY-SYS | | HEAT-ONLY-SYS | | HEAT-ONLY-SYS | | |
| # of Similar Systems | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | |
| Total Cooling Capacity | 0 | kBtu/h | 0 | kBtu/h | 0 | kBtu/h | |
| *Table 6.8.1 Unitary Cooling Capacity Range | NA | kBtu/h | NA | kBtu/h | NA | kBtu/h | |
| *Unitary Cooling Eff. (EER or SEER) | NA | SEER | NA | SEER | NA | EER | |
| *Unitary Cooling Part-load Eff. (if applicable) | NA | IEER | NA | IEER | NA | IEER | |
| Total Heating Capacity | 50.65 | kBtu/h | 57 | kBtu/h | 51.2 | kBtu/h | |
| *Table 6.8.1 Unitary Heating Capacity Range | <65 | kBtu/h | <65 | kBtu/h | NA | kBtu/h | |
| *Unitary Heating Efficiency | 8 | HSPF | 80% | Et | NA | СОР | |
| *Fan Control | cv | | cv | | cv | | |
| Supply Airflow | 1895 | cfm | 702 | cfm | 1900 | cfm | |
| Outdoor Airflow | 0 | cfm | 0 | cfm | 0 | cfm | |
| *Demand Control Ventilation | No | | No | | No | | |
| *Economizer High-Limit Shutoff (°F) | NA NA | | NA NA | | NA | | |
| Exhaust Air Energy Recovery Systems | No | 1 | No | | No | 1 | |
| *Exhaust Air Energy Recovery Effectiveness | | | | | | | |
| Supply Fan Power | 0.562 | kW | 0.208 | kW | 0.567 | kW | |
| Return/Relief Fan Power | 0 | kW | 0 | kW | 0 | kW | |
| Exhaust Fan Power | | kW | | kW | | kW | |
| System Fan Power | 0.562 | kW | 0.208 | kW | 0.567 | kW | |
| Allowed Fan Power: | 0.562 | kW | 0.208 | kW | 0.567 | kW | |
| Pressure Drop Adjustments | cfm | in w.c. | cfm | in w.c. | | | |
| Fully Ducted Return | NA | | NA | | | | |
| Filters: MERV 13-15 | NA | 0.9 | NA | | | | |
| Heat Recovery Device | NA NA | 0.6 | NA | | Based on 50% efficiency for 1 stream. Ent supply + exhaust through ERV | ter cfm for | |
| Sound Attenuation Section | NA | 0.15 | NA | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Equipment Included (per Mechanical Schedules) | | | | | EUH-X | | |

| | | Hot Wate | r or Steam | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|----------|---|-------|---|-------|
| Model Input Parameter | LL86 Baseline ASHRAE 90.1-2013 ECB | | | | HVAC System / Group (PROPOSED DESIGN) | |
| | Description | Units | Description | Units | Description | Units |
| Number and Type of Boilers | Gas Fired, Hot Water | | Gas Fired, Hot Water | | Modulating Condensing w/ 30% glycol solution in primary l | |
| Total Boiler Capacity | 1660 | kBTU | 1654 | kBtu | 2400 | kBTU |
| Boiler Efficiency | 0.8 | Et | 0.8 | Et | 93% (@RWT=120°F) 86% (@RWT=140°F) | % |
| Hot Water or Steam (HHW) Supply Temp | SWT=180°F @ OAT≤20°F SWT=150 °F @ OAT≥50°F | °F | SWT=180°F @ OAT≤20°F SWT=150 °F @ OAT≥50°F | °F | SWT=180°F @ OAT≤20°F SWT=150 °F @ OAT≥50°F | °F |
| HHW ΔΤ | 50 | °F | 50 | °F | 40 | °F |
| HHW Temp Reset Parameters | Outdoor air – supply water temp | reset | Outdoor air – supply water temp reset | | Outdoor air – return water temp reset | |
| HHW Loop Configuration | Primary Only | | Primary Only | | Primary Only | |
| Number of Primary HHW Pumps | 1 | | 1 | # | 2 active | # |
| Primary HHW Pump Power | 3.1 | hp | 3.2 | НР | 6 | НР |
| Primary HHW Pump Flow | 66.5 | gpm | 66.2 | gpm | 60 | gpm |
| Primary HHW Pump Control | Ride pump curve; two-way valves o | n coils | Ride pump curve; two-way valves on coils | | Variable speed | |
| Number of Secondary HHW Pumps | | # | | # | 1 Active | # |
| Secondary HHW Pump Power | | | | | 3 | НР |
| Secondary HHW Pump Flow | | gpm | | gpm | 60 | gpm |
| Secondary HHW Pump Control | | | | | Variable speed | • |
| Other (describe) | | | | | | |
| Other (describe) | | | | | | |
| Other (describe) | | | | | | |
| Other (describe) | | | | | | |

| | Chilled Wat | er | | |
|---|----------------------------------|-------|---|--------|
| Model Input Parameter | GSG Baseline ASHRAE 90.1-2010 | | HVAC System / Group (PROPOSED DE | SIGN) |
| | Description | Units | Description | Units |
| # and Type of Chillers (and capacity of chiller if more than 1 type or size) | 0- Building area < 150,000 | | Air cooled w/ 30% propylene glycol solution in primary loop | |
| Total Chiller Capacity | | | 150 | tons |
| Chiller Efficiency - Full Load | | | 1.1827 | kW/ton |
| Chiller Efficiency - Part Load | | | 0.7704 | kW/ton |
| Chilled Water (CHW) Supply Temp | | °F | 45 | °F |
| CHW ΔT | | °F | 10 | °F |
| CHW Supply Temp Reset Parameters | | | Demand Reset | |
| CHW Loop Configuration | | | Primary only | |
| Number of Primary CHW Pumps | | # | 1 active | # |
| Primary CHW Pump Power | | | 10 | НР |
| Primary CHW Pump Flow | | gpm | 368 | gpm |
| Primary CHW Pump Control | | | Variable Speed | ·! |
| Number of Secondary CHW Pumps | | # | 0 | # |
| Secondary CHW Pump Power | | | NA | 0 |
| Secondary CHW Pump Flow | | gpm | 0 | gpm |
| Secondary CHW Pump Control | | | NA | |
| Water-Side Economizer | | | | |
| Water-Side Energy Recovery | | | | |
| Number of Cooling Towers/Fluid Coolers | | | | |
| Cooling Tower Fan Power | | | | |
| Cooling Tower Fan Control | | | | |
| Condenser Water (CW) Leaving Temp | | °F | | |
| CW ΔT | | °F | | |
| CW Loop Temp Reset Parameters | | | | |
| Number of CW Pumps | | # | | |
| CW Pump Power | | | | |
| CW Pump Flow | | gpm | | |
| CW Pump Control | | | | |
| Other (describe) | | | | |

eQuest Model Review Checklist

| General information | Response |
|--|----------|
| Does the project area include a kitchen? | Yes |
| Verify the kitchen type (full gas, full electric, or warming) been modeled per | |
| the proposed design and the kitchen loads reflect the number of students in | |
| the POR. | Yes |
| Verify the occupancy and ventilation requirements in the classrooms been | |
| updated to match the POR. | Yes |
| Is the net modeled project area within 3% of the design area? | Yes |

Describe any changes between this submission and the previous submission: This is a revision to the 30% DD submission. - Report format updated -ECM's added to to proposed design Describe deviations from the SCA Standard Details (Including SCA approved ECMs) R-30 wall insulation R-40 roof insulation Reduce HW temp in lavatories R-15 underslab insulation Demand defrost for freezers 7500 ft2 solar PV's Increased insulation for walk-in coolers Extensive air sealing EnergyStar equipment Gearless elevator Partial Sumer operation

| Automatic Checks | Explanation, if required |
|--|---|
| The "Ext Usage" EFLH is more than 4,500 per the report design value. Exterior lighting is only to be run during dark hours, even worst cases should not exceed this value. Please correct or provide an explanation. | |
| The sum of the hours above cooling throttling range and heating throttling is above 300. Please correct. | |
| The total amount of hours the design is out of range (heating + cooling) differs from the GSG baseline by more than 50 hours. Please correct or provide an explanation. | The envelope has improved from the initial 30% DD model. This is a DD response. The baselines will be revised at 60% CD. |
| The calculated lighting EFLH is 1722 hrs. Between 1,600 and 2,500 is expected using the template schedules. Please correct or provide explanation. | |
| The Misc Equipment is 53.8% of the total electricity. It is expected to be between 20-35%. Please correct or provide an explanation. | The miscellaneous equipment loads have been modeled per the modeling guidelines. The school has electric cooking facilities, which increase the electric consumption. |
| The Misc Equipment is not the same in baseline and proposed designs. Please correct or provide an explanation. | |
| The Domestic Hot Water is 12.8% of the total heating fuel. It is expected to be less than 10% for projects without kitchens and 10-20% for projects with kitchens. Please correct or provide an explanation. | |
| The proposed Space Cooling is 28.6% of the total electricity. It is typically less than 30%. Please correct or provide an explanation | |
| The proposed Vent Fans is 18.5% of the total electricity. It is typically less than 30%. Please correct or provide an explanation. | |

eQuest Model Review Checklist- Output Report Verification

| BEPU report information | Yes/No | Supporting Documentation (if needed) |
|--|--------|--------------------------------------|
| Is the "Weather file" consistent with the building location. | Yes | |
| Is "Task Lighting" the same between baselines and proposed cases? If no, fix or provide supporting documentation. | Yes | |
| Is the split between electricity and natural gas "Space Heating" consistent with the design and report documentation? | Yes | |
| Is the "Pumps and Aux" EFLH consistent with the design? i.e. total pump EFLH should be roughly equal to the sum of respective plant EFLH for plants with pumps. | Yes | |
| Is the split between electricity and natural gas "Domest Hot Wtr" consistent with the DHW system design? | Yes | |
| Is "Percent of hours any plant load not satisfied" 0%? If no, fix or provide supporting documentation. | Yes | |
| Is "Heat Rejection" zero? If no, provide an explanation. Heat rejection should only be more than zero for water-cooled units (GSG Baseline > 150,000 ft2 and designs that deviate from the standard) | Yes | |

| ES-D report information | Yes/No | Supporting Documentation (if needed) |
|--|--------|--------------------------------------|
| Do the utility costs match the values in the report? | Yes | |

| LV-B report information | Yes/No | Supporting Documentation (if needed) |
|--|--------|--------------------------------------|
| Are the lighting power densities consistent with the report/photometric drawing/code requirements? Have lighting schedules been assigned that reflect mandatory controls (template defaults should be sufficient)? | Yes | |
| Are the equipment power densities consistent with the input summary? | Yes | |

| LV-D report information | Yes/No | Supporting Documentation (if needed) |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| Is the "Window Area" divided by the "Window+Wall Area" for the "All | | |
| Walls" line consistent with the report window to wall ratio? | Yes | |
| | | |
| Is the roof area consistent with the footprint of the building? | Yes | |
| Select a few representative wall definitions, are their U-values consistent with report values? Note: The LV-D and LV-I reports calculations are not consistent with the protocol established by ASHRAE 90.1 Appendix A. The interior air film | | |
| coefficient default does not account for the orientation of the construction. The LV-I U-value calculation does not consider the exterior air film, and the LV-D U-value calculation uses a different value than specified by ASHRAE 90.1 Appendix A. During the simulation, eQuest calculates the exterior air film hourly based on the wind speed from the | | |
| weather file. | Yes | |
| Select a few representative window definitions, are their U-values consistent with report values? Note: | | |
| The window U-values include an exterior film with R-value = 0.3. NFRC | | |
| uses 0.17 to calculate the U-factor. The U-value from the LV-D report | | |
| will be 3-5% lower than reported value. | Yes | |
| | | |
| | | |
| LV-H report information | Yes/No | Supporting Documentation (if needed) |
| Is the weighted average U-value consistent with the report values for | | Supporting Documentation (if needed) |
| Is the weighted average U-value consistent with the report values for frame and glass U-values? | Yes/No Yes | Supporting Documentation (if needed) |
| Is the weighted average U-value consistent with the report values for frame and glass U-values? Is the "Glass Shading Coeff" and "Glass Visible Trans" consistent with the | Yes | Supporting Documentation (if needed) |
| Is the weighted average U-value consistent with the report values for frame and glass U-values? | | Supporting Documentation (if needed) |
| Is the weighted average U-value consistent with the report values for frame and glass U-values? Is the "Glass Shading Coeff" and "Glass Visible Trans" consistent with the report and design values? Is a "setback" modeled? If yes, is it consistent with the design? No setback should be modeled in the baseline condition for new | Yes | Supporting Documentation (if needed) |
| Is the weighted average U-value consistent with the report values for frame and glass U-values? Is the "Glass Shading Coeff" and "Glass Visible Trans" consistent with the report and design values? Is a "setback" modeled? If yes, is it consistent with the design? No | Yes | Supporting Documentation (if needed) |
| Is the weighted average U-value consistent with the report values for frame and glass U-values? Is the "Glass Shading Coeff" and "Glass Visible Trans" consistent with the report and design values? Is a "setback" modeled? If yes, is it consistent with the design? No setback should be modeled in the baseline condition for new | Yes Yes | Supporting Documentation (if needed) Supporting Documentation (if needed) |
| Is the weighted average U-value consistent with the report values for frame and glass U-values? Is the "Glass Shading Coeff" and "Glass Visible Trans" consistent with the report and design values? Is a "setback" modeled? If yes, is it consistent with the design? No setback should be modeled in the baseline condition for new construction projects. | Yes Yes | |
| Is the weighted average U-value consistent with the report values for frame and glass U-values? Is the "Glass Shading Coeff" and "Glass Visible Trans" consistent with the report and design values? Is a "setback" modeled? If yes, is it consistent with the design? No setback should be modeled in the baseline condition for new construction projects. LV-I report information Are U-Values within the acceptable range of the reported values? Note: | Yes Yes Yes Yes/No | |
| Is the weighted average U-value consistent with the report values for frame and glass U-values? Is the "Glass Shading Coeff" and "Glass Visible Trans" consistent with the report and design values? Is a "setback" modeled? If yes, is it consistent with the design? No setback should be modeled in the baseline condition for new construction projects. LV-I report information Are U-Values within the acceptable range of the reported values? Note: Compare U-values reported in the LV-D report to those in the LV-I report. | Yes Yes Yes Yes/No | |
| Is the weighted average U-value consistent with the report values for frame and glass U-values? Is the "Glass Shading Coeff" and "Glass Visible Trans" consistent with the report and design values? Is a "setback" modeled? If yes, is it consistent with the design? No setback should be modeled in the baseline condition for new construction projects. LV-I report information Are U-Values within the acceptable range of the reported values? Note: Compare U-values reported in the LV-D report to those in the LV-I report. The LV-I report values will be higher, with variation depending on the | Yes Yes Yes Yes/No | |
| Is the weighted average U-value consistent with the report values for frame and glass U-values? Is the "Glass Shading Coeff" and "Glass Visible Trans" consistent with the report and design values? Is a "setback" modeled? If yes, is it consistent with the design? No setback should be modeled in the baseline condition for new construction projects. LV-I report information Are U-Values within the acceptable range of the reported values? Note: Compare U-values reported in the LV-D report to those in the LV-I report. | Yes Yes Yes Yes/No | |
| Is the weighted average U-value consistent with the report values for frame and glass U-values? Is the "Glass Shading Coeff" and "Glass Visible Trans" consistent with the report and design values? Is a "setback" modeled? If yes, is it consistent with the design? No setback should be modeled in the baseline condition for new construction projects. LV-I report information Are U-Values within the acceptable range of the reported values? Note: Compare U-values reported in the LV-D report to those in the LV-I report. The LV-I report values will be higher, with variation depending on the thermal properties of the wall. LV-I values may vary from LV-D report as | Yes Yes Yes Yes/No | |
| Is the weighted average U-value consistent with the report values for frame and glass U-values? Is the "Glass Shading Coeff" and "Glass Visible Trans" consistent with the report and design values? Is a "setback" modeled? If yes, is it consistent with the design? No setback should be modeled in the baseline condition for new construction projects. LV-I report information Are U-Values within the acceptable range of the reported values? Note: Compare U-values reported in the LV-D report to those in the LV-I report. The LV-I report values will be higher, with variation depending on the thermal properties of the wall. LV-I values may vary from LV-D report as follows LV-D U-value - LV-I Deviation <0.07 | Yes Yes Yes Yes/No | |
| Is the weighted average U-value consistent with the report values for frame and glass U-values? Is the "Glass Shading Coeff" and "Glass Visible Trans" consistent with the report and design values? Is a "setback" modeled? If yes, is it consistent with the design? No setback should be modeled in the baseline condition for new construction projects. LV-I report information Are U-Values within the acceptable range of the reported values? Note: Compare U-values reported in the LV-D report to those in the LV-I report. The LV-I report values will be higher, with variation depending on the thermal properties of the wall. LV-I values may vary from LV-D report as follows LV-D U-value - LV-I Deviation <0.07 - <5% higher 0.07 - 0.13 - 5-10% higher | Yes Yes Yes Yes/No | |
| Is the weighted average U-value consistent with the report values for frame and glass U-values? Is the "Glass Shading Coeff" and "Glass Visible Trans" consistent with the report and design values? Is a "setback" modeled? If yes, is it consistent with the design? No setback should be modeled in the baseline condition for new construction projects. LV-I report information Are U-Values within the acceptable range of the reported values? Note: Compare U-values reported in the LV-D report to those in the LV-I report. The LV-I report values will be higher, with variation depending on the thermal properties of the wall. LV-I values may vary from LV-D report as follows LV-D U-value - LV-I Deviation - < 5% higher 0.07 - 0.13 - 5-10% higher 0.13 - 0.17 - 10-15% higher | Yes Yes Yes/No | |
| Is the weighted average U-value consistent with the report values for frame and glass U-values? Is the "Glass Shading Coeff" and "Glass Visible Trans" consistent with the report and design values? Is a "setback" modeled? If yes, is it consistent with the design? No setback should be modeled in the baseline condition for new construction projects. LV-I report information Are U-Values within the acceptable range of the reported values? Note: Compare U-values reported in the LV-D report to those in the LV-I report. The LV-I report values will be higher, with variation depending on the thermal properties of the wall. LV-I values may vary from LV-D report as follows LV-D U-value - LV-I Deviation - <0.07 - <5% higher 0.07 - 0.13 - 5-10% higher 0.13 - 0.17 - 10-15% higher 0.17 - 0.20 - 15-20% higher | Yes Yes Yes Yes/No | |
| Is the weighted average U-value consistent with the report values for frame and glass U-values? Is the "Glass Shading Coeff" and "Glass Visible Trans" consistent with the report and design values? Is a "setback" modeled? If yes, is it consistent with the design? No setback should be modeled in the baseline condition for new construction projects. LV-I report information Are U-Values within the acceptable range of the reported values? Note: Compare U-values reported in the LV-D report to those in the LV-I report. The LV-I report values will be higher, with variation depending on the thermal properties of the wall. LV-I values may vary from LV-D report as follows LV-D U-value - LV-I Deviation - < 5% higher 0.07 - 0.13 - 5-10% higher 0.13 - 0.17 - 10-15% higher | Yes Yes Yes/No | |
| Is the weighted average U-value consistent with the report values for frame and glass U-values? Is the "Glass Shading Coeff" and "Glass Visible Trans" consistent with the report and design values? Is a "setback" modeled? If yes, is it consistent with the design? No setback should be modeled in the baseline condition for new construction projects. LV-I report information Are U-Values within the acceptable range of the reported values? Note: Compare U-values reported in the LV-D report to those in the LV-I report. The LV-I report values will be higher, with variation depending on the thermal properties of the wall. LV-I values may vary from LV-D report as follows LV-D U-value - LV-I Deviation | Yes Yes Yes/No | |
| Is the weighted average U-value consistent with the report values for frame and glass U-values? Is the "Glass Shading Coeff" and "Glass Visible Trans" consistent with the report and design values? Is a "setback" modeled? If yes, is it consistent with the design? No setback should be modeled in the baseline condition for new construction projects. LV-I report information Are U-Values within the acceptable range of the reported values? Note: Compare U-values reported in the LV-D report to those in the LV-I report. The LV-I report values will be higher, with variation depending on the thermal properties of the wall. LV-I values may vary from LV-D report as follows LV-D U-value - LV-I Deviation | Yes Yes Yes/No Yes/No | |

| SV-A report information | Yes/No | Supporting Documentation (if needed) |
|---|--------|--------------------------------------|
| Has an SV-A report been provided for all systems referenced in the | | |
| report? | Yes | |
| Is there 1-1 correspondence in the number of systems between the LL32 | | |
| baseline and proposed design? | Yes | |
| | | |
| Is there one system per floor for the GSG baseline, with the exception of | | |
| the public assembly spaces and 24hr data rooms? | Yes | |
| | | |
| Are "Capacity (CFM)" and "Power Demand" consistent with the report? | Yes | |
| Is the "Outside Air Ratio" consistent with the ratio calculated using the | | |
| report values? | Yes | |
| | | |
| Is the "Outside Air Ratio" lower than the "Minimum Flow"? If no, correct | | |
| this. Note: eQuest has a known bug where the software will not reset | | |
| minimum flow up to the outside air ratio. | Yes | |
| Are there "Baseboards" defined in the Proposed system? Is this | | |
| consistent with the report? No baseboards should be defined in the | | |
| baseline systems. | Yes | |

| PV-A report information | Yes/No | Supporting Documentation (if needed) |
|--|------------|--------------------------------------|
| Is the "rated capacity" of proposed equiment consistent with the report and design values? | Yes | |
| Does pump "Head" match between proposed and LL32 baseline? | Yes | |
| Calculate the GSG baseline "Power"/"Flow" for secondary and primary pumps. Does this value add up to 19 W/gpm for heating, 22 W/gpm for cooling, and 19 W/gpm for the condenser loop? Chilled and condenser water loops should be present in schools >150,000 ft2 only. | Yes | |
| Are "Capacity Control" values consistent with the report? Note: If a loop is served by more than 1 pump, the variable speed pumps will be reported as "VFD & STAGED" | Yes | |
| PS-E report information | Yes/No | Supporting Documentation (if needed) |
| Do "Task Lighting", "Misc Equip", "Domest Hot Wtr", and "Ext Usage" all have the same Max kW for all months? If not, is this explained? | Yes | |
| If daylighting is specified, does "lights" Max kW have a minimum in the summer and maximum in the winter? Note: if daylighting is claimed but not modeled the lighting use will only be determined by the schedule and the peak will not vary. The peak vaires becaue of the change in solar angle from summer to winter. The use varies also because the number of hours of daylight changes as well. When daylighting is modeled, the EFLH | | |
| will not match the schedule. Is "Space Heating" zero for june to sept? If not, is it explained in the report? Is there reheat specified? | Yes Yes | |